

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,340	· ·	02/20/2004	Laurentius Cornelis Josephus Hesselmans	30394-1117	7703
5179	7590	05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
PEACOC P O BOX		ERS AND ADAMS	CAMERON, ERMA C		
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927		, NM 871256927		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
`		•		1762	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IN.
at 10-tot. A.l.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Election Restriction Only	10/783,340	HESSELMANS E	T AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			:
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	-	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 00 0.0.0.	3 113(a)-(a) 01 (1).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/783,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of coating with a coating composition that contains one reactive system, classified in class 427, subclass 385.5.
 - II. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method of coating with a coating composition that contains two reactive systems in sequence, classified in claim 427, subclass 407.1
 - III. Claim 16, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1+

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the article can be made by a materially different process such as radiation curing.
- 3. Inventions of Group I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the

Application/Control Number: 10/783,340 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A) the reactive system of claim 1;
- B) the reactive hydrogen compound of claim 3;

THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTED TO ELECT **ONE** SPECIES FROM EACH OF A) THRU K).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,340

Art Unit: 1762

7. A telephone call was made to Jeffrey Meyers on May 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 6

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

May 5, 2005